

UNITED STATES DEPA MENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

08/877,155

D BYRON MILLER

ALZA CORPORATIOIN

950 PAGE MILL ROAD

PALO ALTO CA 94303-0802

06/17/97

CORMIER

M

ARC2466R1

QM12/1206

EXAMINER

FINKEL, S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3763

DATE MAILED:

12/06/99

19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/877,155

Applicant(s)

Cormier et al

Examiner

Sharon Kennedy

Group Art Unit 3763

rs, prosecution as to the merits is closed 3 O.G. 213. month(s), or thirty days, whichever thin the period for response will cause the ay be obtained under the provisions of is/are pending in the application.		
3 O.G. 213. 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever thin the period for response will cause the ay be obtained under the provisions of		
thin the period for response will cause the ay be obtained under the provisions of		
is/are pending in the application.		
is/are pending in the application.		
is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
is/are allowed.		
is/are rejected.		
is/are objected to.		
ect to restriction or election requirement.		
)-948.		
Examiner.		
approved disapproved.		
 □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. 		
Purson (PCT Bulg 17 2/c))		
Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
S.C. § 119(e).		
_		
-		

Application/Control Number: 08/877,155 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The specification lacks antecedent basis for "said anchor *helps prevent* the sheet from being dislodged" and for the subject matter added in claim 80.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 6, 7, 30, 31, 53-55, 57-74, 76-114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims state that the anchoring means "help prevent" the sheet from being dislodged from the body surface. One of ordinary skill in the art cannot ascertain with any reasonable degree of certainty what structures embody this functional language since this functional language "helps to prevent" is wholly undescribed in the specification. In addition, one of ordinary skill in the art cannot reasonablely discern what is "much less than" and the specification provides no support for the functional language. See, for example, claims 92, 98, etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 6, 7, 30, 31, 55, 57, 59-62, 65, 66, 67, 68, 71-74, 76, 78, 80, 83-86, 89-94, 98-100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ganderton et al., 3,814,097. The

comments set forth in the previous office action are incorporated herein. Clearly, the Ganderton blades "help prevent" the sheet from being dislodged from the body.

5. Claims 6, 55, 57, 59, 64-66, 71 and 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Reed et al., U.S. 5,312,456. The comments set forth in the previous office action are incorporated herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. Claims 63, 64, 69, 70, 81, 82, 87, 88, 95, 96, 97, 101-103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ganderton et al., U.S. 3,814,097. The comments set forth in the previous office action are incorporated herein.
- 7. Claims 7, 63, 69 and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 5,312,456. The comments set forth in the previous office action are incorporated herein.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 53, 54, 58, 77, 79 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The comments set forth in the previous office action are incorporated herein.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon Kennedy whose telephone number is (703) 305-0154.

Sharon Kennedy Sharon Kennedy